

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 217411US0 XPCT 4758 12/21/2001 Yukihiro Fujieda 09/926,817 EXAMINER 04/01/2004 7590 22850 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. BRUENJES, CHRISTOPHER P 1940 DUKE STREET PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 1772

DATE MAILED: 04/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.   Applicant(s)   Optice Action Summary			C	
Examiner  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -  Period for Repty  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extension of time may be available under the pressions of 3° CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  - Extension of time may be available under the pressions of 3° CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  - If the period for reply is general advantable is less than that (70) days, a reply while the statutory prising with the statutory prising the statutory prisin		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Christopher P Bruenips   1772		09/926,817	FUJIEDA ET AL.	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address—  Period for Repty  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM  THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 2 CPR 1.13(6). In re event, however, may a reply be timely field  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the realing date of this communication.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 2 CPR 1.13(6). In re event, however, may a reply be timely field  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the realing date of this communication.  I the Dentity of time the provision of 2 CPR 1.17(14) in the statisticy relative under precision and provision of the control of this communication.  I the Dentity of time the statistic precision and the special date of this communication.  Along reply recisions by the Office the time term emilial after the negligidade of this communication.  Along reply recision by the Office time there memilials after the negligidade of this communication.  Along reply recisions by the Office time the remains date the melling date of this communication.  Provided plants from diplatment. See 37 CPR 1.17(16):  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 November 2003.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 18-26 28-30.32-51.53-55 and 57-62 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 18-26 28-30.32-51.53-55 and 57-62 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 18-26 28-30.32-51.53-55 and 57-62 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 18-26 28-30.32-51.53-55 and 57-62 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 18-26 28-30.32-51.53-55 and 57-62 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 18-26 28-30.32-51.53-55 and 57-62 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 18-26 28-30.32-51.53-55 and 57-62 is/are rejected.  10)	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.73(d), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.73(d), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.73(d), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  - It to period for reply is pecified abover, he maximum statutory principal unit apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS form the realing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply which he cent can be available under the realing date of this communication, and the center of the center of the realing date of this communication, seen if timely filed, may reduce any search patient term edipatiment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  - Status  1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 November 2003.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 18-26.28-30.32-51.53-55 and 57-62 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  (b) Claim(s) 18-26.28-30.32-51.53-55 and 57-62 is/are rejected.  (c) Claim(s) 28-26.28-30.32-51.53-55 and 57-62 is/are rejected.  (c) Claim(s) 38-26.28-30.32-51.53-55 and 57-62 is/ar		Christopher P Bruenjes	1772	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Ethericins of time may be available under the proximisor of 3° CFR 1.75(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Ethericins of time may be available under the proximisor of 3° CFR 1.75(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Ethericins of time may be available under the proximisor of 3° CFR 1.75(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Ethericins of time purpose provided above is less blan birdy (30) days, a reply whilin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  Ethericins of the service provided and the provided provided provided provided and statutory minimum or thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  Ethericins of the service provided and statutory minimum or the replace and the communication.  Falloweride for reply specified above is less than birdy (30) days, a reply whilin the statutory minimum or the reply specified above the communication.  Falloweride for reply specified above is less than birdy (30) days and will experience of the communication.  Falloweride for reply specified above is less than birdy (30) days and less than birdy (30) days will be considered timely.  Falloweride for the service of the communication of the communication.  Falloweride for the service of the communication of the communication.  Falloweride for the service of the communication of the communication.  Falloweride for the communication of the communication of the communication.  Falloweride for the service of the communication of the communication.  Falloweride for the communication of the communication of the communication.  Falloweride for the communication of the communication of the communication.  Falloweride for the communication of the communication of the communication.  Falloweride for the communication of the communication of the communication.  Falloweride for the communication of the communication of the communication.  Fallo	·	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the proximator of 3 CFR 1.73(s)c). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (8) MORTHS from the mailing date of this communication of 3 CFR 1.73(s)c). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (8) MORTHS from the mailing date of this communication or the provision of Claims  1) Responsive to communication (s) filed on 18 November 2003.  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 18-26 28-30.32-51.53-55 and 57-62 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  5) Claim(s) 18-26 28-30.32-51.53-55 and 57-62 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 18-26 28-30.33-51.53-55 and 57-62 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All by Some *C) Mone of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	• •	ALC OFT TO EVOIDE AMOUNTIL	(O) FDOM	
This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 18-26.28-30.32-51.53-55 and 57-62 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  5) ☐ Claim(s) 18-26.28-30.32-51.53-55 and 57-62 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 18-26.28-30.32-51.53-55 and 57-62 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 18-26,28-30,32-51,53-55 and 57-62 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  5)  Claim(s) 18-26,28-30,32-51,53-55 and 57-62 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filled on is/are: all accepted or bl objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status			
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 18-26,28-30,32-51,53-55 and 57-62 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 9) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) □ Some * ○) □ None of: 1 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 No.	ovember 2003.		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.			
Application of Claims  4) Claim(s) 18-26, 28-30, 32-51, 53-55 and 57-62 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
4)  Claim(s) 18-26,28-30,32-51,53-55 and 57-62 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☑ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposition of Claims			
5   Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6   Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6   Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7   Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10   The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a   accepted or b   objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)   All   b)   Some * c)   None of: 1   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.    Attachment(s)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4) Claim(s) 18-26,28-30,32-51,53-55 and 57-62 is/are pending in the application.			
6) Claim(s) 18-26.28-30.32-51,53-55 and 57-62 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  **Attachment(s)**  Autachment(s)  10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 22 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	·	s/are rejected.		
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  2. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)    All   Interview Summary (PTO-413)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date    All   Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)    All   Interview Summary (PTO-413)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Application Papers			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some colonic None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  10 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	, —			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  *Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  *Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)				
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  *Attachment(s)    Attachment(s)	,	arniner. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF IOTHER TO-132.	
a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  *Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  *Attachment(s)    O	<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	··			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		·		
P) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		_		
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/926,817

Art Unit: 1772

#### DETAILED ACTION

# Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 18, 2003 has been entered.

#### WITHDRAWN REJECTIONS

- 2. The 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections of claims 18-62 of record in the Office Action mailed June 18, 2003, Pages 3-5 Paragraphs 8-9, have been withdrawn due to Applicant's amendments in the Paper filed November 18, 2003.
- 3. The 35 U.S.C. 102 rejections of claims 18-20, 22-36, 41-43, and 45-58 as anticipated by Kodama et al of record in the Office Action mailed June 18, 2003, Pages 5-6 Paragraph 10, have been withdrawn due to Applicant's amendments and arguments in the Paper filed November 18, 2003.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/926,817

Art Unit: 1772

4. The 35 U.S.C. 103 rejections of claims 21, 37-40, 44, and 59-62 over Kodama et al of record in the Office Action mailed June 18, 2003, Pages 7-9 Paragraph 11-12, have been withdrawn due to Applicant's amendments and arguments in the Paper filed November 18, 2003.

- 5. The 35 U.S.C. 103 rejections of claims 18-31, 35, 37-45, and 49-62 over Heilmann et al in view of Strassmann of record in the Office Action mailed June 18, 2003, Pages 9-13 Paragraph 13, have been withdrawn due to Applicant's amendments and arguments in the Paper filed November 18, 2003.
- 6. The 35 U.S.C. 103 rejections of claims 32-34 and 46-48 over Heilmann et al in view of Strassmann and in further view of Takeuchi of record in the Office Action mailed June 18, 2003, Pages 13-15 Paragraph 14, have been withdrawn due to Applicant's amendments and arguments in the Paper filed November 18, 2003.

## NEW REJECTIONS

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/926,817
Art Unit: 1772

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere*Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims 18-26, 28-30, 32-51, 53-55, and 57-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kodama et al (JP 09-254339) in view of Hotta (USPN 4,588,777).

Kodama et al teach a multilayered laminate suitable for use in a medical appliance which is sterilized in use consisting of two layer or three layer laminated body for forming a tube or medical product (see abstract and p.10 Paragraph 12 of translation). The tube consists of a base material or

Art Unit: 1772

intermediate layer in the three-layer body having 95-20% polypropylene resin and 5-80% hydrogenated diene-based polymer (p.8 Paragraph 11 of translation). The inner and/or outer layer of the body consists of 100-50% polypropylene resin and 0-50% hydrogenated diene-based polymer (p.9, paragraph 11 of translation). The hydrogenated diene-based polymer in either the base layer or the other layer comprises a random copolymer or block copolymer comprising styrene and butadiene, isoprene, or a mixture of isoprene and butadiene (p.7 Paragraph 6 of translation). The styrene content is between 5 and 60% and the vinyl content of the butadiene and/or isoprene is 50% or more. The rate of hydrogenation of the hydrogenated diene system is 90% or more (p.7, Paragraph 6 of translation). The elastic modulus of the entire tube is less than 30MPa (p.11, Table 1 of translation), which is the same as the entire tube of the instant invention, therefore the flexural modulus of the polypropylene resin in the two resin is inherently the same in Kodama et al as the instant invention. Because the Kodama et al body is formed form the same composition, structure, and method the body obviously has peel strength similar to the peel strength of the instant invention. The body is an article used in the medical field (p.16, Paragraph 26 of translation).

Application/Control Number: 09/926,817
Art Unit: 1772

Kodama et al fail to explicitly teach that the multilayer laminate is used to form a medical tube. Kodama et al teach that the laminate is used in the medical field as well as many other various fields such as the food industry. Kodama et al also teach that the laminate can be used for rigid stand alone hollow articles such as food containers (p.16, Paragraph 26 of translation. Hotta teaches that synthetic resin compositions similar to the composition taught by Kodama et al are used to form not only sheets as explicitly taught by Kodama et al, but also blocks, shapes, tubes, nets, and bottles (col.6, 1.3-15). Hotta further teaches that in the field of food packaging and medical appliances, which Kodama et al is involved, synthetic resin compositions for molding are desired having superior transparency and softness (col.1, 1.15-20). Also, the composition must be capable of retaining its shape even at high temperatures above 120°C, which is the sterilization temperature, without the disadvantages of PVC (col.2, 1.10-25). Kodama et al teach that the multilayer laminate of Kodama et al is superior in transparency, flexibility, cold resistance, weld seal strength, heat seal intensity, and can be sterilized without damaging the laminate (p.4, abstract of translation). One of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the laminate of Kodama et al solves the problems faced in the

Art Unit: 1772

medical and food packaging art as described by both Hotta and Kodama et al, and that molding compositions similar to the laminate of Kodama et al are used to mold sheets, tubes, containers and other molded articles in the food and medical fields, as taught by Hotta.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to adjust the thickness of the layers and form the multilayer laminate of Kodama et al into a tube, because the similar compositions are known to form tubes, as taught by Hotta, and the composition taught by Kodama et al solves the problems addressed by Hotta with respect to medical tubes and packaging.

Regarding claims 21 and 44, Kodama et al teach that the ratio of thickness of the base material layer and the other layer is suitably chosen according to the property and use of the product (p.10 paragraph 12 of translation). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to select the ratio of thickness of the layers within the claimed range, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art, absent the

Art Unit: 1772

showing of unexpected result. In re Boesch, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Regarding claims 37-40 and 59-62, Kodama et al and Hotta taken as a whole fails to explicitly teach that the tube is sterilized, or that the tube is connected to a medical device, or that the tube is a blood tube, infusion tube, catheter, balloon catheter, or part of a circuit for extracorporeal circulation. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to shape the body used in medical applications of Kodama et al to form bodies that are used in forming the particular articles listed above. Furthermore, the intended use of an article receives little patentable weight because articles are defined by their structure not merely stating a use for the article. One of ordinary skill in the art would have also recognized that medical-application films, bags, and tubes are sterilized, in order to protect the user from diseases transmitted by the articles.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to sterilize the medical-application films, bags and tubes taught by Kodama et al and Hotta combined, in order to

Art Unit: 1772

protect the people using the articles from diseases and injections transmitted by unsterilized medical articles.

#### ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

- 8. Applicant's arguments regarding the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections of claims 18-62 of record have been considered but are most since the rejections have been withdrawn.
- 9. Applicant's arguments regarding the 35 U.S.C. 102 rejections of claims 18-20, 22-36, 41-43, and 45-58 as anticipated by Kodama et al have been considered but are moot since the rejections have been withdrawn.
- 10. Applicant's arguments regarding the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejections of claims 21, 37-40, 44, and 59-62 over Kodama et al have been considered but are moot since the rejections have been withdrawn.
- 11. Applicant's arguments regarding the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejections of claims 18-31, 35, 37-45, and 49-62 over Heilmann et al in view of Strassmann have been considered but are moot since the rejections have been withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 09/926,817
Art Unit: 1772

12. Applicant's arguments regarding the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejections of claims 32-34 and 46-48 over Heilmann et al in view of Strassmann in further view of Takeuchi et al have been considered but are moot since the rejections have been withdrawn.

## Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P Bruenjes whose telephone number is 571-272-1489. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher P Bruenjes

Examiner

Art Unit 1772

CDB

March 24, 2004

HAROLD PYON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

R 3/29/04